In **The Eye of the Storm**, a 25 minute film, a classroom situation is deliberately created to teach primary school children how it feels to be on the receiving end of discrimination.

This documentary explores the nature of prejudice in a dramatic primary school classroom experiment conducted in a small Midwestern town in the United States - a town without ghettos, blacks or campus unrest. It demonstrates how quickly wholesome, friendly school children can be infected with the ugly virus of discrimination that leads to frustration, broken friendships and vicious behaviour.

**Synopsis**

Jane Elliott, a teacher in the all-white farming community of Riceville, Iowa, is deeply disturbed by the assassination of Martin Luther King, Jr. in 1968. When one of her third grade students remarks "They shot a King. Why did they shoot a King?", Jane Elliott decides to help her students experience the pain of discrimination.

She divides the class into two groups, based on eye-colour - the blue-eyed children and the brown-eyed children. She explains that blue-eyed children are more intelligent, better behaved, quicker to learn, and in every way superior to brown-eyed children. To call attention to the "inferior" brown-eyed children, the blue-eyed youngsters are told to place a collar around the neck of each brown-eyed child in the class. The brown-eyed children must wear these collars all day.

The "superior" blue-eyed children enjoy the exclusive privileges of sitting at the front of the class, using playground equipment, drinking from the fountain (brown-eyes must use paper cups), going to recess first, eating lunch first, etc.

This unjust treatment causes striking behaviour changes in both sets of children. Happy to be on top, the blue-eyed children act superior and aggressive. The brown-eyed children become unbelieving, unhappy, and finally want revenge.

The next day Jane Elliott explains that she had lied the day before. Blue-eyed children aren't really superior, the brown-eyed children are. After all, she has blue eyes herself, and she admitted to lying.
The children accept her explanation, and collars are placed around the necks of the blue-eyed children. Behaviour becomes more vicious, leading to name-calling and a fistfight between a blue-eyed boy and a brown-eyed boy.

At the end of the second day, Jane Elliott confesses to the children what she has done and why. She then leads them in a discussion of their experiences. When the class understands what happened, relief gushes out. The children become animated, friendly, and happy. They join in singing a song, their arms around their friends - but one boy stands over the wastebasket, emotionally involved in tearing up his collar.

During the experiment, Jane Elliott learns from the "superior" children, whose behaviour she classifies as "ghastly". She notes that ability to perform in the classroom changes according to whether the children are "superior" or "inferior" on that day. Children wearing collars take twice as long to read phonic materials from a card pack. Afterward they explain
they "couldn't concentrate" on school-work when they were so unhappy.

The class is freed from the prison of prejudice, but this is a lesson they will never forget. Fourteen years later, the children return to discuss how this exercise has affected their entire lives - this session is filmed in *A Class Divided*. While the children are easily freed from this prison of prejudice, countless other people remain in that prison - the bitter, enduring lesson of *The Eye of the Storm*.

**Facilitator's Notes for *The Eye of the Storm***

Introduce yourself and welcome participants (if you don't already know each other).

Set the context and explain the objectives

Let the participants introduce themselves

Establish ground rules to create a supportive learning environment.

Introduce and show *The Eye of the Storm* or *A Class Divided*

Discuss the video and draw parallels with racism and other "isms"

Consider action to challenge discriminatory treatment

**Objective:**

To recognise discrimination and understand the ways it affects those who discriminate as well as those who are discriminated against.

**Materials:**

"The Eye of the Storm" or "A Class Divided" film.

**Method:**

Large group viewing Small group work Large group discussion
Before Viewing

Depending on objectives, you might introduce the film to your participants with questions such as:

- Do children instinctively hate people different from themselves?

- Can a society be taught to hate? Can you think of some examples of this in history? In
our society today?

- Do you think that some races of people are biologically superior to others? Explain

- What is prejudice? Is it learned? Who teaches it? If you think it is taught, why is it taught?

Explain to the participants that they are about to view a film of an actual classroom exercise where children are taught to be prejudiced. Suggest they note especially how the behaviour of the children changes. Divide the participants into three groups.

- Ask the first group of participants to focus on the body language, words and behaviour of the children when they are encouraged to discriminate;

- Ask the second group of participants to focus on the body language, words and behaviour of the children when they are discriminated against;

- Ask the third group of participants to focus on the role of the teacher during the exercise.

To further focus their viewing, you can ask the participants to focus on a particular child and follow her/his reactions throughout the exercise.

If you need to save time, start the video as the children "Pledge allegiance to the flag..." and stop it after "dog on a leash".

**After Viewing**

Discussion topics include:

- The body language, words and behaviour of the children when they are encouraged to discriminate;

- Most of the children believed what the teacher told them.

- They accepted that they should 'be treated differently as they were "superior" and others "inferior", e.g. have an extra five minutes for recess.

- 'Brown eyed' became a derogatory term.

- Russell is asked whether he is using the term "....to be funny or to be mean" (I was only joking" is sometimes given as an
Roy is asked "What's wrong with being called 'brown-eyes'?" He replies "It means that we're stupider and - well, not that..." "Oh, that's just the same as other people calling black people 'niggers'!"

All the children performed better in the test when they were in the superior group because, as their teacher had told them they were "....better and smarter" they were more confident about themselves and their abilities. They lived up to her expectation of them. The way they performed when they were in the superior group is the way they should have been
performing all the time.

One of the children, Brian, did not believe what Jane Elliott said because his father was brown-eyed. However, she "sowed the seeds of doubt" by reminding him that his father had kicked him in the past whereas blue-eyed fathers did not do such things, as several of his classmates testified, quickly aligning themselves with the teacher. He was thus marginalised and silenced.

While several of the children in the 'superior' group vocally supported the teacher, thus aligning themselves with the 'power', the majority chose to stay quiet and Brian got no support. (Compare the 'whistleblowers' - which has become weighted negatively in a similar way to the 'brown-eyed' on the first day of the exercise - who highlight bad practice but can then find they are isolated because everyone else is either voicing support for the power group or 'carpet gazing' to avoid drawing attention to themselves and avoid being associated with 'trouble').

Note the body language, words and behaviour of the children when they are discriminated against:

They lost confidence when in the 'inferior' group and performed badly on the test;

John hit Russell for taunting him as a 'brown-eyed';

On the second day, when, in frustration, Brian, who was then in the 'inferior' group, banged his elbows on his desk, the teacher used this as yet another illustration of poor behaviour typical of people in his group.

Comment on the speed with which the children took on the roles assigned to them - 15 minutes. They were not role playing. They became the "...nasty, vicious, discriminating little third graders..." and/or "withdrawn unhappy and unable to perform academically". Some participants may be concerned that children were experimented on in this way. It was not an experiment but an exercise which had long term positive effects on the children, not only in their understanding of racism and equity issues but also in terms of their own self-esteem and self-belief. After the exercise they had a new-found confidence in themselves and their overall performance improved. This was confirmed using data gathered from their scores in pre and post-exercise tests. Jane Elliott notes
that Philip Zimbardo of Stanford University posited this conclusion, but as there was no control group, the conclusion could not be considered research.

Sometimes participants say that putting children through an exercise which introduces discrimination where it had not previously existed, is cruel and that it should not have been allowed.

Remind them that for the children in the video it was an exercise for two days that, they acknowledge themselves, taught them a valuable lesson. That lesson has stayed with them all their lives and had a positive impact not only on their behaviour but also on the way they have raised their children. For some children that exercise is their whole life. What are the participants doing about that?

Jane also considers the exercise 'an injection of the live virus of racism'. Many parents
vaccinate children against serious illnesses knowing that doing so may make them ill for a short time to protect them in the future. Participating in the blue-eyed, brown-eyed exercise allows people to understand and recognise racism and its negative effects so that they can challenge it and other 'isms' more confidently whenever they encounter them in the future.

Also, point out that, at the time, Riceville was all white and all Christian. Despite that, the children knew who was treated unfairly in society. They knew that 'brotherhood' meant: "Treat everyone the way you would like to be treated. Treat everyone as though he was your brother". Yet in response to the teacher's question "Is there anyone in the United States we do not treat as our brothers?" they immediately responded with "black people" and "Indians". Thus it is not necessary for black people to be present for racism to be an issue.

**The Role of the Teacher**

The teacher set up the system and its parameters at the outset. To support and illustrate her argument that "...blue-eyed people were better...", she asks them to think about the colour of eyes of a "hero". She specifically selects one, with blue-eyes, George Washington, as this reinforces her message of superiority.

She frequently highlighted he actions or characteristics of a specific child in line with their assigned status, inferring that they indicated a broad generalisation throughout that 'group' e.g. "Everyone ready? Everyone but Laurie." Another child is heard to say "She's a brown-eyed." The teacher then adds "You'll find we spend a lot of time waiting for brown-eyed people." Speaking to John after she has coached him until he can write neatly on the blackboard: 'That's beautiful writing. Brown-eyed people learn fast, don't they?"

**Summarise**

The exercise clearly shows the 'anatomy of prejudice'. Jane Elliott, the authority figure, used her position as teacher to:

Identify a group of children by a characteristic over which they have no control - eye colour;

Discriminate against them and lower her expectations of them;
Force them, by her discriminatory treatment, to look and act inferior;

When they behaved negatively because of the discriminatory treatment, blame their poor behaviour of their eye colour and refused to recognise it as a reaction to the discriminatory treatment.

Despite the fact that the majority of the children were passive throughout the exercise, use the examples of negative behaviour to stereotype a 'group' and justify further discriminatory treatment.

Deny that she was discriminating against them;

Racism - and other ism's - works in the same systematic way. In this society, white people
and black people are conditioned to the myth of white superiority and, by definition, to the myth of the inferiority of those who are other than white. Laws and policies support the system.

People's prejudices can be hurtful but it is their discriminatory behaviour that maintains racism in society. Negative behaviour in response to such treatment is sometimes used in stereotypical ways to reinforce prejudices and justify further discriminatory treatment.

Make clear to participants that negative behaviours are not the result of a genetic predisposition! Even scientists agree that there are more differences within any of the so-called 'races' than between them. This DVD/Video shows that it is discriminatory treatment that leads to the negative behaviours that are often cited to characterise people in less powerful groups. In fact there are as many differences in any group as there are in any other group, including your own, however you choose to define your group. It is easier to recognise stereotypes about our own group as stereotypes because we are fully aware of the diversity within it. Where we have little personal experience or knowledge of a particular group that may not be the case and therefore our views are more likely to be influenced by specific experiences from which we may make broad generalisations, or information from other sources, i.e. media, etc., which may be selective and subjective.

Still in large group, ask for and answer any questions.

Ask participants to draw parallels between the eye colour exercise in the film and the skin colour exercise (and other 'isms') in their organisation and society. What can they do to challenge discriminatory treatment?

Share a few examples (depending on time available) and encourage them to commit to at least one action point to make a positive difference.

Discussion topics include (if you have time):

Do you sometimes make judgements about others that you think are really prejudiced?

Can you suggest ways to reduce prejudice? What do you think should be done to encourage appreciation of others as individuals, without prejudice because of race, religion or nationality?
Why did Jane Elliott claim she learned more from the "superior" children?

What are the implications of being under emotional stress while trying to learn or to work? What is the responsibility of schools and corporations in this regard? Of the church? Of the family?

Do you agree with Jane Elliott's claim that "Children have to find out... They have to be involved... They have to know how it feels to be stepped on" Why?

What do you think will happen if prejudice and discrimination continue unchanged in our society?
Jane Elliott believes that discrimination is institutionalised racism, and that those without power cannot discriminate. Do you agree with this, or do you believe that minority groups can discriminate against majority groups? If so, explain how discrimination works from your point of view.

**Follow Up Activities**

Make a list of examples of prejudice that your participants i) have experienced themselves:  

or ii) have learned about from radio TV or reading.

Read "Lord of the Flies". Discuss the possibility that without rules of a society to guide and protect them, young children would become savage, cruel and primitive.

Role Playing: Act out the following roles: i) Black policeman trying to break up a crowd of white demonstrators, ii) White policemen doing the same with black demonstrators; and iii) situations invented by your participants to reveal attitudes and emotions about discrimination.

Divide your participants into groups by religion, colour, sex, nationality. Ask each group to list the five things they most want in life. Compare the lists of the groups. Do they have anything in common? What?

**Related Jane Elliott Films - available in DVD and VHS**

*A Class Divided* - 14 years after *Eye of the Storm*, the participants gather to discuss the impact of the blue-eyed/brown-eyed exercise on their lives. Clips from an exercise performed in a corporate setting reinforce Jane Elliott's message that racism is universal, regardless of age or class.

*The Angry* Eye (2001 - two versions - 52 minutes and 35 minutes; DVD has 35 minute version, chapters. Facilitator's Guide. This award-winning programme turns the tables on white American college students as they are forced to experience the same kind of racist treatment African Americans and other minorities have been receiving for years. With startling force and emotional intensity, Jane Elliott compels her participants to live in another world for the longest two and a half hours of their lives. Feel the intensity as she targets "blue-eyed" participants. Listen to the language and
tone of discrimination. Watch learning take a back seat to anger and humiliation.

**Blue Eyed** (93 minutes) and **Essential Blue Eyed** (60 minute trainer's edition and 36 minute de-briefing). Jane Elliott conducts her blue-eyed/brown-eyed exercise with adults in Midwest USA. In **Blue-Eyed**, we join a group of 40 teachers, police, school administrators and social workers in Kansas City - blacks, Hispanics, whites, women and men. The blue-eyed members are subjected to pseudo-scientific explanations of their inferiority, culturally biased IQ tests and blatant discrimination. In just a few hours under Elliott's withering regime, we watch grown professionals become despondent and distracted, stumbling over the simplest commands. Discussion guide included.

**Stolen Eye** (2001 - 52 minutes; VHS and DVD) - Jane Elliott brings together Aborigines
and white Australians for an unusually candid encounter. The Aborigines are frank and eloquent in revealing the story of the expropriation of their lands by European settlers and the deliberate attempts to destroy their culture through government-sponsored assimilation programs. The whites seem genuinely surprised and shocked by the pain they have inflicted. American diversity trainers may find the film helpful because it removes the experience of oppression from the familiar American racial terrain to a more universal, yet less well-trodden landscape. Viewers of *The Stolen Eye* will feel less defensive and freer to discuss their own experiences of discrimination as analogous but not redundant to those of the film's participants.
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